PUERTO RICO
ELECTRIC POWER AUTHORITY

PREPA

GOVERNMENT OF PUERTO RICO

May 4, 2025

By electronic mail
mquinones@recomspr.net
procurement@recomspr.net

Mariela Quiñones
Program Manager
The Third-Party Procurement Office (3PPO)

Dear Ms. Quiñones:

Re: PREPA'S Letter Regarding P3A Recommendation for 3PPO-RFP-0314-20-TPG

Hereby, we confirm that on May 2, 2025, 8:08 P.M., PREPA received an email with a letter from 3PPO and a link to the Shared Folder "PREPA-3PPO folder". We made a high-level review of the documents included in this Shared Folder and found that there are several issues that need clarification. Following, we include our findings so far, which should not be taken as PREPA's final comments on the referenced matter.

For example, regarding the disqualification letters, in addition to them, a table showing all disqualified proponents should be presented, along with detailed RFP violations per proposal's exhibit. In the other hand, the commercial and administrative scores shall be reviewed considering each proponent's modifications to the RFP contract model. For example, proponent Elton John received high scores in "Approach to Services Fulfillment" and "Approach to Power Generation", but its modifications to the contract model will affect both approaches. Thus, it should be expected that Elton John would get lower scores in such sections due to all restrains and limitations stated in its corrected contract.

Therefore, to clarify the RFP evaluation, PREPA requests 3PPO to prepare and deliver the following documents:

1. List of the RFP Evaluation Committee members, including their titles and companies.

2. Table showing the compliance or non-compliance of the seven (7) proponents with the mandatory requirements checklist as stated in Exhibit A of the RFP. The table shall state if non-compliance with a certain requirement disqualifies the proponent.

3. "Proposal comparison Table" Excel document corrected to include the third qualified proponent, Whitney Houston.
4. The "Evaluation Scorecard Final 3 proponents" Excel document scores should be reviewed.

The above documents should be discussed by PREPA, the RFP Evaluation Committee members and 3PPO in a meeting, preferably on May 5, 2025. In addition to such documents, for the meeting discussion, PREPA requests the 3PPO to prepare and deliver an RFP report presentation, including at least the following information:

MCQ

a. RFP background.
b. RFP main technical and commercial specifications.
c. RFP schedule.
d. RFP contract model main terms and conditions.
e. Clear explanation of the permits and licenses process, including environmental permits, and identifying the responsible parties for requesting such permits and licenses.
f. Summarized table showing the compliance or non-compliance of the seven (7) proponents with the mandatory requirements checklist, identifying disqualification issues.
g. Three (3) qualified proponents' proposals comparison table showing location, generation technology and voltage, installed capacity in MW, fuel type, electrical infrastructure included (power transformer, transmission breaker, protective relays, SCADA controls, metering, etc.), and commencement operation date.
h. Three (3) qualified proponents' proposals comparison table showing installed capacity in MW, energy price structure (single fixed cost, fixed cost-variable cost, etc.), one-time costs (mobilization, demobilization, etc.), monthly fixed cost, monthly variable cost (number of hours per month, dispatched MW, etc.), and average cost per kWh.
i. Summarized Final Evaluation Scorecard showing the three (3) qualified proponents.

The main purpose of this presentation is to be presented to PREPA's Governing Board to get a clear understanding of the contract that PREPA will sign with the preferred proponents.

We appreciate your prompt response to our request.

Cordially,

Mary C. Zapata Acosta, PE
Executive Director

c Josué A. Colón Ortiz, P3A Executive Director, josue.colon@p3.pr.gov
Rubén Lugo Mas, P3A Compliance & Contract Manager, ruben.lugo@p3.pr.gov